# The zircon thermal behaviour: effect of impurities

Part 2

## P. PENA

Dpto. of Ceramics, Instituto de Cerámica y Vidrio, CSIC, Arganda del Rey, Madrid, Spain

F. GUITIAN

*Dpto. of Soils Science, Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Spain* 

S. DE AZA Dpto. of Ceramics, Instituto de Cerámica y Vidrio, CSIC, Arganda del Rey, Madrid, Spain

The influence of the impurities, usually present in zircon sands, on the initial melting temperature and thermal dissociation of zircon has been experimentally studied by SEM and KEVEX-microanalysis. The results have shown that a liquid phase is developed in zircon sands at temperatures as low as 1500° C. The data agree with the thermodynamics considerations previously reported by the authors.

# 1. Introduction

In a previous work the authors have studied, from a thermodynamics point of view and on the basis of the data obtained from the  $ZrO_2-Al_2O_3-SiO_2-TiO_2$  system, the thermal behaviour of the main commercial zircon sands [1]. In that study they reached the conclusion that a liquid phase will appear in zircon sands at a temperature of  $1450 \pm 10^{\circ}$  C.

The purpose of the present work is to provide experimental data that support the previous thermodynamics statement.

# 2. Experimental procedure

The starting material was a high purity Australian zircon sand (>99 wt%). The chemical analysis is given in Table I. The main impurities were rutile  $(TiO_2)$ , quartz  $(SiO_2)$ , kyanite, sillimanite and felspar.

Zircon sands were pressed into pellets  $\sim 5 \text{ mm}$  diameter and 4 mm thick. These pellets were axial pressed using an organic binder at 60 MN m<sup>-2</sup>. The specimens were settled into Pt-foil crucibles

and heat treated, at temperatures ranging from 1500 to  $1650^{\circ}$  C, in a molybdenum furnace with an electronic temperature controller (± 1° C). After a period of 24 h, at each selected temperature, the samples were then air-quenched by being withdrawn from the furnace, and then polished by conventional methods.

The microstructure of the specimens were observed by reflected light microscopy and SEM using a Super 3A ISI Co.

Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) microanalyses have been performed on the polished samples sections by a SEM-EDX equipment (Kevex 7077). The calibration was carried out with ZrTiO<sub>4</sub> (titanium and zirconium),  $ZrO_2$ , SiO<sub>2</sub>, and

TABLE I Typical analysis of zircon sand

	(wt %)	
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	67.17	
SiO <sub>2</sub>	32.10	
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.30	
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.15	
Minor amounts	0.28	

TABLE II Typical microanalysis of zircon

Selected zircon grains (KEVEX-EDX)		Theoretic	cal zircon
	(wt %)	······································	(wt %)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	32-33	SiO <sub>2</sub>	32.74
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	64-66	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	67.25
HfO <sub>2</sub>	3-1		

potash feldspar (BCS 376) as reference materials. Weight percentage was calculated from measured net intensities with a program correcting the influence of atomic number, absorption and fluorescency (Quantex ZAF corrections via MAGIC V). The relative counting error  $\epsilon_{\rm N}$  has been calculated as  $\epsilon_{\rm N} = (N^{1/2}/N) \times 100$  (N = accumulated counts) with a probability of 98.5%.

### 3. Microstructure and microanalysis of the treated zircon samples

The specimens treated in the temperature range  $1500-1600^{\circ}$  C for 24 h show a similar kind of microstructure. Almost all the samples are formed by coarse round grains of zircon, some of them broken, without any sign of reaction (Fig. 1). The average microanalysis of the zircon grains are shown in Table II. However, it was possible to detect few and small areas of inclusions, dispersed on the samples, where reaction was significant, even at temperatures as low as  $1500^{\circ}$  C. Fig. 2 shows the back scattered electron image of a typical area, where reaction has taken place, and the titanium, silicon and zirconium X-ray spectra is several points of it.

A reaction zone, between the zircon grains (ZS) and the intergranular rutile (T) inclusion can be appreciated. Fig. 3 shows a detail of the same



Figure 1 SEM micrograph of zircon sand  $(1500^{\circ} \text{ C}/24 \text{ h})$ . Black ares are Araldyte.

area with the corresponding mapping image of titanium distribution. Results of Kevex microanalysis on a similar reaction zone (Fig. 4) are shown in Table III.

Most of the impurities are mainly intergranular, located between the zircon grains and, only in a few cases, it was possible to observe other reactions zones within the zircon grains. Fig. 5 shows the back scattered electron image of a reaction area within a zircon grain. Kevex microanalyses of this zone (Table IV) suggest that the inclusion was constituted by a feldspar.

Inclusions within the zircon grains, where no reaction has been detected, even at the highest temperature tested  $(1650^{\circ} \text{ C})$ , were also observed

TABLE III KEVEX-EDX microanalysis of the areas labelled 1, 2 and 3 in Fig. 4

Area	wt $\% \pm \sigma$			Phase
	SiO <sub>2</sub>	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	TiO2	
1	33.5	66.5		Zircon
2 dark phase	$11.3 \pm 0.2$	$67.3 \pm 0.5$	$21.4 \pm 0.2$	?
2 white phase	Traces	$68.5 \pm 0.5$	$31.5 \pm 0.2$	ZrTiO <sub>4</sub> ss
3 dark phase	$64.3 \pm 0.2$	$16.1 \pm 0.3$	$19.6 \pm 0.2$	Glass
3 white phase	$2.5 \pm 0.2$	17.3 ± 0.3	80.2 ± 0.2	TiO <sub>2</sub> ss
TABLE IV KEVI	EX-EDX microanalysis			
Area wt	vt % ± σ			Phase

Area	$W1\% \pm \sigma$	wt % ± σ			
	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	SiO2	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> O	
Black	$11.5 \pm 0.3$	86.6 ± 0.5	_	$1.8 \pm 0.2$	Glass
White	_	-	100	-	ZrO <sub>2</sub>
Grey	_	$32.3 \pm 0.5$	$67.7 \pm 0.5$	-	Zircon
the second s					



Figure 2 Back-scattered electron image of a polished sample of zircon sand treated at  $1500^{\circ}$  C for 24 h, showing a typical reaction area. Titanium, Silicon and zirconium X-ray spectra in several points of it are also shown. (ZS = zircon; T = rutile).



(Fig. 6). Kevex microanalysis of these inclusions shows them to be silica in all cases.

## 4. Discussion

According to the experimental results the

impurities found in commercial zircon sands [1], as rutile, sillimanite of kyanite, free silica and feldspar, etc., can originate local equilibrium reactions with partial dissolution of zircon at temperatures which will depend of the nature of



Figure 4 Back-scattered electron image of a polished sample of zircon sand treated at  $1600^{\circ}$  C for 24 h, showing a typical reaction area.

Figure 3 Detail of the area shown in Fig. 2 with the corresponding  $TiK\alpha$  X-ray image.



Figure 5 Back-scattered electron image of a polished sample of zircon sand treated at  $1550^{\circ}$ C for 24 h, showing a reaction area within a zircon grain. (ZS = zircon; Z = zirconia; G = glass).

these impurities but always lower than 1676° C temperature of dissociation of chemically pure zircon [2].

That is the case of rutile which is the most important impurity in zircon sands, as can be appreciated in Figs. 2 to 4. In this case, according to the ternary system  $ZrO_2$ -SiO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>, (Fig. 7) and due to the relative proportion of zircon and rutile in the area considered at the beginning of reaction, a liquid phase will be formed at a temperature of 1500° C which corresponds to the lower invariant point of the system. As temperature goes up the reaction area becomes rich in zircon and the liquid will move approximately in



Figure 6 Back-scattered electron image of a polished sample of zircon sand treated at  $1650^{\circ}$  C for 24 h, showing a silica inclusion within a zircon grain. (ZS = zircon; S = silica).

the direction of zircon composition. At a temperature of  $1600^{\circ}$  C the coexisting phases will be zircon, zirconium titanate and liquid which is in agreement with the previously related experimental results. The micrographs 2 to 4 show that this sequence took place during heating in all those areas where rutile is present and the Kevex microanalysis (Table III) are also in total agreement with the equilibrium data.

A similar behaviour has been observed in the case of feldspar impurities. Unfortunately the system ZrO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O is not as well known as the system ZrO<sub>2</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O. However, based on the similitude, which is expected to exist, between the system ZrO<sub>2</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O and the system ZrO<sub>2</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>O established by Sircar and Brett [4] it is possible to estimate that a liquid phase will appear at a temperature near 1100° C. As temperature is increased the liquid composition will move depending on the zircon/ feldspar ratio. For very small amounts of feldspar and at a temperature of 1550° C the liquid composition will probably lie on the eutectic line which separates the primary phase fields of zircon and circonia. This is an agreement with the results of Yamaguchi and Kato [5] in their study on reaction of zircon refractories and potassium salt varpours and with the results shown in Fig. 5 and Table IV.

No sillimanite or kyanite were detected in the samples studied. However, it is possible to predict that in these areas a liquid phase will appear at a temperature of  $1555^{\circ}$  C invariant point of the subsystem zircon, mullite, silica [6].

Figure 7 The system  $ZrO_2$ -SiO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>[3].



The silica inclusions detected, in this study, requires special mention. In all of them no reaction was observed between zircon and silica (Fig. 6) even at the highest temperature tested ( $1650^{\circ}$  C). This fact seems to confirm that, in the system  $ZrO_2$ -SiO<sub>2</sub> zircon dissociate in the solid state [2] or peritectically [7, 8] at a temperature higher than  $1650^{\circ}$  C which contradicts the work of Curtis and Sowman [9] and Anseau *et al.* [10] who state that zircon dissociates in the solid state at  $1550^{\circ}$  C.

Being rutile the most important impurity in zircon sands, the temperature of the first significant liquid phase formation should be taken as  $1500^{\circ}$  C. This result agrees closely with the previous theoretical prediction of the authors [1]. Due to the location of the primary phase field of zircon, close to the silica corner, in all the ternary and quaternary system known where zircon is found, the quantity of liquid, at the beginning of melting, will be very low whichever is the impurity considered.

Due to the actual high technological importance of zircon for obtaining toughened materials by reaction-sintering, i.e. zircon-alumina based bodies, it is easily deduced, from the present work, the important role that the impurities, present in zircon sands, can play in order to evaluate processing parameters as densification rate, kinetics of reaction, etc. For example, recent research [11, 12] state that a complete reaction is obtained in 3/2 molar ratio zircon-alumina mixtures at temperatures between  $1420-1570^{\circ}$  C. This fact can be explained from the present work, considering the appearance of a transient liquid phase which enhances the reaction sintering process [13].

Finally the appearance of this kind of liquids can play an important thechnological role in all those reactions where zircon sands are employed.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was support by CAICYT under Contract No. 0009/81.

#### References

- 1. P. PENA and S. DE AZA, J. Mater. Sci. 19 (1984) 135.
- 2. W. C. BUTTERMAID and W. R. FOSTER, Amer. Mineral. 52 (1967) 880.
- 3. P. PENA and S. DE AZA, Bol. Soc. Esp. Cerám. Vidr. 15 (1979) 93.
- 4. A. SIRCAR and N. H. BRETT, *Trans, Brit. Ceram.* Soc. 69 (1970) 133.
- A. YAMAGUCHI and E. KATO, *Yogyo-Kyokai-Shi* 82 (1974) 7.
- 6. M. H. QUERESHI and N. H. BRETT, Trans, Brit. Ceram. Soc. 67 (1968) 569.
- R. F. GELLER and S. M. LANG, J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 32 (1949) 57.
- 8. A. COCCO and N. SCHROMEK, La Cerámica 12 (1958) 45.
- 9. C. E. CURTIS and H. G. SOWMAND, J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 36 (1953) 190.
- 10. M. R. ANSEAU, J. P. BILOQUE and P. FIERENS,

J. Mater. Sci. 11 (1976) 578.

- 11. E. DI RUPO, E. GILBART, T. G. CARRUTHERS and R. J. BROOK, *ibid.* 14 (1979) 705.
- 12. N. CLAUSSEN and J. JAHN, J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 63 (1980) 228.
- 13. J. S. MOYA, Commun. J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 64 (1981) 78.

Received 21 April and accepted 26 April 1983